arXiv:gr-qc/0404072v1 16 Apr 2004

Cartan Normal Conformal Connections from Pairs of 2^{nd} Order PDE's

Emanuel Gallo*, Carlos Kozameh*,
Ezra T. Newman#, Kiplin Perkins#

#Dept of Physics and Astronomy,
Univ. of Pittsburgh, Pgh.PA 15260

*FAMAF, Universidad Nacional de
Córdoba, 5000 Córdoba, Argentina

15 April 2004.

Abstract

We explore the different geometric structures that can be constructed from the class of pairs of 2nd order PDE's that satisfy the condition of a vanishing generalized Wünschmann invariant. This condition arises naturally from the requirement of a vanishing torsion tensor. In particular, we find that from this class of PDE's we can obtain all four-dimensional conformal Lorentzian metrics as well as all Cartan normal conformal $O(4, 2)$ connections.

To conclude, we briefly discuss how the conformal Einstein equations can be imposed by further restricting our class of PDE's to those satisfying additional differential conditions.

1 Introduction

This work, which deals with general relativity from a non-conventional perspective, has several independent objectives.

One of them is to further demonstrate and develop the rich geometric structures that are buried in a large class of differential equations. Some of these structures have been known for a long time,[1, 2, 3] while other parts are new. Here we will concentrate on the geometry associated with pairs of 2nd order PDE's with two independent and one dependent variable. Via the emerging structure, the discussion will then be narrowed down to a large special class of equations that in what are referred to as the generalized Wünschmann class. We will describe the differential geometry that is induced by the differential equations of this class on the four-dimensional solution space of the PDE's. They include the existence of all Lorentzian conformal geometries as well as Cartan normal conformal connections. Since all Lorentzian conformal metrics can be constructed from *some* pair of 2^{nd} order PDE's, this means, in particular, that all metrics that are conformally related to vacuum Einstein metrics are included in this context or discussion.

However, in order to gain perspective on this work, we point out and summarize similar work that was done on a considerably simpler problem, namely the study of the class of all 3^{rd} order ODE's. Cartan[4, 5, 6, 7] and Chern[8] showed how to construct, from the differential equation, a triad and its associated connection on the three-dimensional solution space of a generic third order ordinary differential equation of the form

$$u''' = F(u, u', u'', s). \tag{1}$$

The starting problem was to study the equivalence class of equations under the group of contact transformations on the (u, u', s) space. One remarkable result that follows from the equivalence problem is that the equivalence classes of 3^{rd} order ODE's split into two major classes: those with a vanishing Wünschmann invariant[9] and those with a non-vanishing invariant. The Wünschmann invariant, $I[F]$, a differential expression involving F and its derivatives in all four variables, was discovered by early workers in the theory of differential equations and extensively used by Chern[8]. More specifically, when a third order ODE satisfies $I[F] = 0$, one shows that the solution space, i.e., the three-dimensional space of constants of integration, (x^a), possesses, directly from the differential equation, Eq.(1), a conformal Lorentzian metric with the level surfaces of the solutions themselves, $u = z(x^a, s)$, forming a one parameter family of null surfaces. All members of the equivalence class, under contact transformations, yield the same conformal metric. The converse statement is also true; namely, given a three-dimensional conformal Lorentzian space-time, from any complete solution ($u = U(x^a, s)$) of the eikonal equation, $g^{ab}\partial_a U \partial_b U = 0$, one can obtain a third order ODE (by differentiating with respect to s three times and eliminating the x^a) all belonging to the same equivalence class[11]. It was then shown by two different methods[4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 12] that from the 3^{rd} order ODE with vanishing Wünschmann invariant one could generate on the solution space a Cartan normal conformal connection with group $O(2,3)$. The present work generalizes these ideas from 3^{rd} order ODE's to pairs of 2^{nd} order PDE's.

A second objective of this work is to prepare the basic structures needed to code the Einstein equations (or more accurately, the conformal Einstein equations) into the formalism of pairs of PDE's. We first point out that there are two uses to the term 'conformal Einstein equations'; in one case there are differential equations solely for conformal classes of Lorentzian metrics such that *there exists* a conformal factor whose choice converts the class into a single vacuum metric that is a solution of the vacuum Einstein equations, in the other case, the differential equations involve both the metric *and the needed* conformal factor. Our interest, at the present, is only in the first case.

More than twenty years ago the Null Surface Formulation of GR[10, 13, 12, 14, 15] was introduced as an alternative tool to study GR and in particular to capture the conformal degrees of freedom. The novelty of the approach consisted in using null surfaces as the main variables for the theory. The surfaces themselves were obtained as solutions of an integrable pair of second order PDE's for sections of a line-bundle over the two-sphere with a complex function Λ playing an analog role to the above F. (Here, we use S instead of Λ.) The four-dimensional solution space of these equations emerged as the space-time itself. An explicit algebraic method for constructing the conformal metric, on the solution space, was derived provided a certain differential condition on Λ was imposed. This condition, referred to then as the metricity condition, written as $M = M[\Lambda]$, was essential for the formulation of the NSF. At the time we were not aware of the different geometric meanings of this newly discovered expression (even in the non-vanishing case) as a generalized Wünschmann invariant for the second order PDE's under consideration. Explicitly, in terms of Λ, we were able to construct, in addition to the conformal metric, objects like the Weyl tensor, the Riemann tensor, the Levi-Civita connection, etc. Although it was not very elegant to mix the non-conformally invariant connections with null surfaces for the construction of these objects, at that time it was not clear how to produce a completely conformally invariant formulation of conformal GR.

In this work we try to fill this gap. Starting with the pair of PDE's that define our main variables and without prior assumptions of a space-time, we construct what is called a conformal Cartan connection on the solution space of these equations. A tetrad (that becomes null), defined from the PDE's, is introduced on the solution space. The requirement of a vanishing torsion uniquely fixes the associated connection and imposes a differential condition on the class of considered PDE's for our main variable. Further, it guarantees the existence of a metric on the solution space. The formalism is conformally covariant by construction; the non-trivial part of its curvature are the Weyl and generalized Cotton-York tensors. The Ricci tensor is coded into the Cartan normal conformal connection one-form.

The conformal Einstein equations, in this new language, are to be differential conditions imposed on the pair of PDE's that define the characteristic surfaces. They are to be found by imposing conditions on the Cartan conformal Curvature; both a cubic algebraic condition and a differential condition, that is equivalent to the vanishing Bach tensor.

In section II, we describe certain preliminary ideas and review earlier results. Our main results are presented in section III where we show how, from the first Cartan structure equation, we find a conformal connection with the restriction on the class of considered PDE's to the so-called generalized Wünschmann class. Many of the explicit detailed expressions and proofs are relegated to Appendices due to their length. In section IV we discuss the second Cartan structure equation and the Cartan curvature tensors. For clarity, in section V we give a brief synopsis of the earlier sections. Section VI unifies the earlier material into a Cartan normal conformal connection. In the section VII, we discuss the issues of obtaining the conformal Einstein equations.

2 Preliminaries

On a 2-dimensional space with coordinates (s, s^*) we consider the following PDE's

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{ss} &= S(Z, Z_s, Z_{s^*}, Z_{ss^*}, s, s^*), \\ Z_{s^*s^*} &= S^*(Z, Z_s, Z_{s^*}, Z_{ss^*}, s, s^*), \end{aligned} \qquad (2)$$

where the subscripts denote partial derivatives and Z is a real function of (s, s^*). Though it would have been equally possible to treat (s, s^*) as a pair of real variables it turns out to be more useful to consider them as a complex-conjugate pair. In that case the second equation is simply the complex-conjugate of the first equation. In the following, $(^*)$ will denote the complex-conjugate. By assumption, the functions S and S^* satisfy the integrability conditions. Solutions, $Z = Z(s, s^*)$, are two-surfaces in the six-dimensional space, J^6, with coordinates

$$(Z, W, W^*, R, s, s^*) \equiv (Z, Z_s, Z_{s^*}, Z_{ss^*}, s, s^*). \tag{3}$$

For an arbitrary function $H = H(Z, W, W^*, R, s, s^*)$, the total-derivatives in the s and s^* are

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dH}{ds} &\equiv DH \equiv H_s + WH_Z + SH_W + RH_{W^*} + TH_R, & (4)\\ \frac{dH}{ds^*} &\equiv D^*H \equiv H_{s^*} + W^*H_Z + RH_W + S^*H_{W^*} + T^*H_R, & (5) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} T &= D^*S, & (6)\\ T^* &= DS^*. \end{aligned}$$

The T and T^* are explicit functions of (Z, W, W^*, R, s, s^*) that are obtained in the following way. Letting $H = S^*$ in Eq.(4) and $H = S$ in Eq.(5), we get two equations containing T and T^* . From them, we find

$$\begin{aligned} T &= \frac{S_{s^*} + W^*S_Z + RS_W + S^*S_{W^*}}{1 - S_R S_R^*} & (7)\\ &\quad + \frac{S_R(S_s^* + WS_Z^* + SS_W^* + RS_{W^*}^*)}{1 - S_R S_R^*}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the D and D^* are actually the coordinate vectors e_s and e_{s^*}, respectively. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} e_s &\equiv D = \frac{d}{ds} = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} + W\frac{\partial}{\partial Z} + S\frac{\partial}{\partial W} + R\frac{\partial}{\partial W^*} + T\frac{\partial}{\partial R}, & (8)\\ e_{s^*} &\equiv D^* = \frac{d}{ds^*} = \frac{\partial}{\partial s^*} + W^*\frac{\partial}{\partial Z} + R\frac{\partial}{\partial W} + S^*\frac{\partial}{\partial W^*} + T^*\frac{\partial}{\partial R}. \end{aligned}$$

Often, for detailed calculations, the following identities are very useful. For $H = H(Z, W, W^*, R, s, s^*)$ and $y \in \{Z, W, W^*, R, s, s^*\}$

$$\begin{aligned} D(H_y) &= (DH)_{,y} - (S_y H_W + T_y H_R + \delta_{W,y} H_Z + \delta_{R,y} H_{W^*}), \\ D^*(H_y) &= (D^*H)_{,y} - (S^*_y H_{W^*} + T^*_y H_R + \delta_{W^*,y} H_Z + \delta_{R,y} H_W), \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where $\delta_{y',y}$ is the Kronecker symbol.

With these definitions of D and D^* the integrability conditions of (2) are

$$D^2 S^* = D^{*2} S. \tag{10}$$

In addition, the functions S and S^* are assumed to satisfy the weak inequality

$$1 - S_R S^*_R > 0. \tag{11}$$

From this inequality and the Frobenius theorem one can show[11] that the solutions depend on four parameters, namely x^a, defining the space-time manifold, $\mathfrak{M}^4$, as the solution space of the PDE's. We can thus write

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= Z(x^a, s, s^*), \\ W &= W(x^a, s, s^*), \\ W^* &= W^*(x^a, s, s^*), \\ R &= R(x^a, s, s^*). \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Remark 1 *The space J^6 is foliated by the integral curves of D and D^* which are labeled by x^a. The above relations can be interpreted as (s, s^*) dependent coordinate transformation between the (Z, W, W^*, R) and the x^a.*

The exterior derivatives of (12),

$$\begin{aligned} dZ &= Z_a dx^a + W ds + W^* ds^*, \\ dW &= W_a dx^a + S ds + R ds^*, \\ dW^* &= W^*_a dx^a + R ds + S^* ds^*, \\ dR &= R_a dx^a + T ds + T^* ds^*, \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

can be re-written as the Pfaffian system of four one-forms

$$\begin{aligned} \beta^0 &\equiv dZ - Wds - W^*ds^* = Z,_a dx^a, \\ \beta^+ &\equiv dW - Sds - Rds^* = W,_a dx^a, \\ \beta^- &\equiv dW^* - Rds - S^*ds^* = W^*,_a dx^a, \\ \beta^1 &\equiv dZ - Tds - T^*ds^* = R,_a dx^a. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

The vanishing of the four β^i is equivalent to the PDE's of Eqs.(2), which motivates their definitions. For later use, we choose the equivalent set of one-forms,

$$\begin{aligned} \theta^0 &= \Phi\beta^0, \\ \theta^+ &= \Phi\alpha(\beta^+ + b\beta^-), \\ \theta^- &= \Phi\alpha(\beta^- + b^*\beta^+), \\ \theta^1 &= \Phi(\beta^1 + a\beta^+ + a^*\beta^- + c\beta^0). \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

We refer to the set $(\alpha, b, b^*, a, a^*, c)$ as *tetrad parameters* and Φ as a conformal parameter. For the moment they are undetermined functions of (S, S^*) and their derivatives. Later, we uniquely determine $(\alpha, b, b^*, a, a^*, c)$ explicitly in terms of (S, S^*) and impose conditions on Φ.

Remark 2 *Note that one could generalize the θ^i by including more parameters, i.e., by taking linear combinations of the θ^i. We will not do so, as the above definitions of the θ^i are sufficient for our purposes. For the study of Cartan's equivalence problem for pairs of 2^{nd} order PDE's, the other parameters are needed. In either case, however, β^0 must be preserved up to scale. We will return to the issue of the other parameters in Section V in relationship to the Cartan normal conformal connection[8].*

Remark 3 *We impose two different conditions on Φ: (1) for intermediate or transitional use in the display of complicated expressions in the appendices we use $\Phi = 1$ and refer, in this case, to the θ's as $\widehat{\theta}'$s; (2) a more basic choice is for Φ to satisfy a certain differential equation that simplifies the structure of the conformal metric defined below. (For this choice see section III.) We thus have that*

$$\theta^i = \Phi\widehat{\theta}^i, \tag{16}$$

for the non-trivial Φ.

From Eq.(15), the dual basis vectors, e_i, are

$$\begin{aligned} e_0 &= \Phi^{-1}(\partial_Z - c\partial_R), \\ e_+ &= \Phi^{-1}\frac{\partial_W - b^*\partial_{W^*} - (a - a^*b^*)\partial_R}{\alpha(1-bb^*)} \\ e_- &= \Phi^{-1}\frac{\partial_{W^*} - b\partial_W - (a^* - ab)\partial_R}{\alpha(1-bb^*)} \\ e_1 &= \Phi^{-1}\partial_R. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

From Eq.(16),

$$e_i = \Phi^{-1}\widehat{e}_i. \tag{18}$$

By adding the forms

$$\begin{aligned} \theta^s &\equiv ds, \\ \theta^{s^*} &\equiv ds^*, \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

which are the duals to the vectors e's, Eq.(8), to the four θ^i defined above, we have a basis of one-forms on the six dimensional space (Z, W, W^*, R, s, s^*). We will refer to θ^0, θ^+ , θ^-, and θ^1 as the space-time set of one-forms, and we will refer to θ^s and θ^{s^*} as fiber one-forms. We denote the space-time one-forms with the lower-case i, j, etc., and denote all six one-forms with the upper-case indices I, J, etc. Thus,

$$\theta^i \in \{\theta^0, \theta^+, \theta^-, \theta^1\}, \tag{20}$$

$$\theta^I \in \{\theta^0, \theta^+, \theta^-, \theta^1, \theta^s, \theta^{s^*}\}. \tag{21}$$

Note that, in general, a p-form with tetrad indices will have components in all six dimensions. For example, the one form Π^i_j and the two-form Υ^i will have the respective expansions

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi^i{}_j &= \Pi^i{}_{jK}\theta^K = \Pi^i{}_{jk}\theta^k + \Pi^i{}_{js}\theta^s + \Pi^i{}_{js^*}\theta^{s^*}, \\ \Upsilon^i &= \frac{1}{2}\Upsilon^i{}_{JK}\theta^J \wedge \theta^K, \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\Upsilon^i{}_{jk}\theta^j \wedge \theta^k + \Upsilon^i{}_{js}\theta^j \wedge \theta^s + \Upsilon^i{}_{js^*}\theta^j \wedge \theta^{s^*} + \Upsilon^i{}_{ss^*}\theta^s \wedge \theta^{s^*}, \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

For later use, we construct a metric to make θ^i a null tetrad

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(Z, W, W^*, R, s, s^*) &= \theta^0 \otimes \theta^1 + \theta^1 \otimes \theta^0 - \theta^+ \otimes \theta^- - \theta^- \otimes \theta^+, \\
&= \eta_{ij} \theta^i \otimes \theta^j.
\end{aligned} \tag{23}
$$

This defines the constant flat metric η_{ij} as

$$
\eta_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{24}
$$

From Eq.(11), it follows[10, 11] that the metric g is Lorentzian.

In addition, we also define the symmetric tensors G_{ij} and G^*_{ij} from the Lie derivatives of the metric in the e_s and e_{s^*} directions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pounds_{e_s} g &= G_{ij} \theta^i \otimes \theta^j, \\
\pounds_{e_{s^*}} g &= G^*_{ij} \theta^i \otimes \theta^j,
\end{aligned} \tag{25}
$$

and the exterior derivatives of the one-form basis by

$$
d\theta^i = \frac{1}{2} \triangle^i{}_{JK} \theta^J \wedge \theta^K. \tag{26}
$$

From this and

$$
\pounds_{e_s} \theta^i = e_s \rfloor d\theta^i, \tag{27}
$$

we have that the G_{ij} and the $\triangle^i{}_{JK}$ are related by

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{ij} &= -2 \triangle_{(ij)s}, \\
G^*_{ij} &= -2 \triangle_{(ij)s^*},
\end{aligned} \tag{28}
$$

where

$$
\triangle_{iJK} = \eta_{il} \triangle^l{}_{JK}. \tag{29}
$$

The expressions for both G_{ij} and $\triangle^i{}_{JK}$, in terms of S, S^*, Φ, the tetrad parameters and their derivatives, are given in Appendix B.

3 The First Structure Equation

We begin by inserting our one-forms, $\theta^i \in \{\theta^0, \theta^+, \theta^-, \theta^1\}$, into Cartan's torsion-free first structure equation,

$$d\theta^i + \omega^i_{\ j} \wedge \theta^j = 0. \tag{30}$$

Our goal now is to solve this equation for the connection one-forms, $\omega^i_{\ j}$. To do so, write

$$\begin{aligned} d\theta^i &= \frac{1}{2}\Delta^i_{\ JK}\theta^J \wedge \theta^K, \qquad (31) \\ \omega_{ij} &= \omega_{ijK}\theta^K, \qquad (32) \end{aligned}$$

defining the $\triangle^i_{\ JK}$ and ω_{ijK}. Note that

$$\omega^i_{\ k} = \eta^{ij}\omega_{jk}, \tag{33}$$

where η_{ij} is the flat metric defined in Eq.(24). The structure equation then becomes

$$\frac{1}{2}\triangle^i_{\ JK}\theta^J \wedge \theta^K + \eta^{ij}\omega_{jkL}\theta^L \wedge \theta^k = 0. \tag{34}$$

Since we are interested the conformal geometry contained in the structure equation, we require that the connection one-forms be generalized Weyl connections ("generalized" because of the extra degrees of freedom in the fiber directions, s and s^*):

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{ij} &= \omega_{[ij]} + \omega_{(ij)}, \\ \omega_{(ij)} &= \eta_{ij}A, \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

where the one-form

$$A = A_I\theta^I = A_i\theta^i + A_s\theta^s + A_{s^*}\theta^{s^*}, \tag{36}$$

is the (generalized) Weyl one-form.

In Eqs.(15) we expressed our space-time tetrad, θ^i, in terms of S, S^*, and the unspecified tetrad parameters, $(\alpha, b, b^*, a, a^*, c)$ and Φ. Thus, we can explicitly compute the $\triangle^i_{\ JK}$ in terms of S, S^*, the tetrad parameters, Φ and their derivatives. (The explicit expressions for the $\triangle^i_{JK}$, as we said earlier, are given in Appendix B.) Therefore, we will use Eq.(34) to solve for the connection coefficients, ω_{ijK}, in terms of the $\triangle^{i}{}_{JK}$ and the undetermined A_I. In doing so, we will find several things: *i)* the four space-time components of the Weyl-one form, A_i, remain arbitrary; *ii)* the skew-symmetric part of the connection, $\omega_{[ij]}$, and the fiber parts of the Weyl-one form, A_s and A_{s^*}, are uniquely determined functions of S, S^*, A_i and Φ; *iii)* the tetrad parameters are uniquely determined functions of S and S^*; and *iv)* there must be restrictions on the class of 2^{nd} order PDE's to which S and S^* belong. The conditions of *(iv)* are known as the (generalized) Wünschmann condition and its complex-conjugate. (Note that when we say "Wünschmann condition", we often mean both the condition and its conjugate.) These conditions are complex differential equations in all six variables of our six-dimensional space, $(Z,\,W,\,W^*,\,R,\,s\ ,\,s^*)$.

We begin by splitting the structure equation it into its fiber-fiber, tetrad-fiber, and tetrad-tetrad components.

A. The fiber-fiber component contains no information since the term $\omega_{ijK}\theta^K \wedge \theta^j$ has no fiber-fiber parts and, (using direct calculation and integrability conditions)

$$\triangle^{i}{}_{ss^*} \equiv 0. \tag{37}$$

B. The tetrad-fiber parts of the structure equation are

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_{ijs} &= \triangle_{ijs}, \\ \omega_{ijs^*} &= \triangle_{ijs^*}.\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

An important observation to make is that

$$\triangle_{ijs} = \widehat{\triangle}_{ijs} - \eta_{ij}\Phi^{-1}D\Phi, \tag{39}$$

where $\widehat{\triangle}^{k}_{js}$ is defined by

$$d\widehat{\theta^i} = \frac{1}{2}\widehat{\Delta}^{i}{}_{JK}\widehat{\theta}^J \wedge \widehat{\theta}^K. \tag{40}$$

Symmetrizing on (i,j) in Eq.(38) and using Eqs.(35) and (39) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_{ij}A_s &= \triangle_{(ij)s} = \widehat{\triangle}_{(ij)s} - \eta_{ij}\Phi^{-1}D\Phi, \\ \eta_{ij}A_{s^*} &= \triangle_{(ij)} = \widehat{\triangle}_{(ij)s^*} - \eta_{ij}\Phi^{-1}D^*\Phi,\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

while the skew-symmetric parts gives

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{[ij]s} &= \triangle_{\ [ij]s} = \widehat{\triangle}_{\ [ij]s}, \\ \omega_{[ij]s^*} &= \triangle_{\ [ij]s^*} = \widehat{\triangle}_{\ [ij]s^*}. \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

Eqs.(41), uniquely determine A_s and A_{s^*} in terms of S, S^*, and Φ as

$$A_s = \frac{1}{4}\triangle^k{}_{ks} = \widehat{A}_s - \Phi^{-1}D\Phi, \tag{43}$$

$$A_{s*} = \frac{1}{4}\triangle^k{}_{ks*} = \widehat{A}_{s*} - \Phi^{-1}D^*\Phi,$$

$$\widehat{A}_s \equiv \frac{1}{4}\widehat{\triangle}^k{}_{ks}. \tag{44}$$

In addition, the trace-free part of Eqs.(41),

$$\begin{aligned} \triangle_{\ (ij)s} - \frac{1}{4}\eta_{ij}\triangle^k{}_{ks} &= \widehat{\triangle}_{\ (ij)s} - \frac{1}{4}\eta_{ij}\widehat{\triangle}^k{}_{ks} = 0, \\ \triangle_{\ (ij)s^*} - \frac{1}{4}\eta_{ij}\triangle^k{}_{ks^*} &= \widehat{\triangle}_{\ (ij)s^*} - \frac{1}{4}\eta_{ij}\widehat{\triangle}^k{}_{ks^*} = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

imposes conditions on the S, S^* and determines uniquely the tetrad parameters while Φ remains undetermined. Alternatively, from Eq.(28), i.e., $G_{ij} = -2\triangle_{(ij)s}$, and by the definition

$$\widehat{G}_{ij} = -2\widehat{\triangle}_{(ij)s}, \tag{46}$$

we have

$$G_{ij} = \widehat{G}_{ij} + 2\eta_{ij}\Phi^{-1}D\Phi, \tag{47}$$

from which we easily see that

$$G_{ij}^{TF} = \widehat{G}_{ij}^{TF} = 0, \tag{48}$$

where TF denotes the trace-free part.

The details for analyzing Eqs.(48) are quite involved and will be given in appendix C.

Theorem 1 *From Eqs.(41) and the relationship between the $\triangle^k_{\ js}$and the G_{ij}, i.e., Eqs.(28), we have the result*

$$\begin{aligned} \pounds_{e_s} g &= -2A_s g, \\ \pounds_{e_{s^*}} g &= -2A_{s^*} g. \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

It follows from this theorem that the degenerate six-dimensional metric defined in Eq.(23) yields a conformal four-metric in the solution space, with the motion along either e_s *or* e_{s^*} *generating the conformal re-scaling of the metric.*

Corollary 1 *Up to this point* Φ *has remained undetermined. There is however, via Eq.(43), a canonical way to chose it, namely by,*

$$\begin{aligned} D\Phi - \frac{1}{4}\widehat{\triangle}^k{}_{ks}\Phi &= 0, \\ D^*\Phi - \frac{1}{4}\widehat{\triangle}^k{}_{ks^*}\Phi &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

making $A_s = A_{s^*} = 0$. *We thus have that*

$$\begin{aligned} \pounds_{e_s} g &= 0, \\ \pounds_{e_{s^*}} g &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

Remark 4 *Note that the freedom in the solution of Eq.(50) for* Φ *is an arbitrary multiplicative function (referred to as the conformal factor)* ϖ *such that* $D\varpi = D^*\varpi = 0$, *i.e.,* ϖ *is an arbitrary function on the space of fibers (the solution space,* $\mathfrak{M}^4$ *). The solution is thus of the form* $\Phi = \varpi\Phi_0$ *where*

$$\Phi_0 = \Phi_0[S, S^*] = \exp\frac{1}{4}(\int \widehat{\triangle}^k{}_{ks}ds + \widehat{\triangle}^k{}_{ks^*}ds^*).$$

where the integral is taken along an arbitrary path from any initial point to the final point (s, s^*). *The needed integrability conditions are satisfied. Multiplication of the metric by* ϖ^2 *is the ordinary conformal freedom,* $g \Rightarrow \varpi^2 g$.

C. Returning to the tetrad-tetrad parts of the structure equations, we have that

$$\triangle^i{}_{mn} + \eta^{ij}(\omega_{jnm} - \omega_{jmn}) = 0, \tag{52}$$

or

$$\omega_{i[jk]} = \frac{1}{2}\triangle_{ijk}. \tag{53}$$

From the tensor identity

$$\omega_{ijk} = \omega_{(ij)k} - \omega_{(jk)i} + \omega_{(ki)j} + \omega_{i[jk]} - \omega_{k[ij]} + \omega_{j[ki]}, \tag{54}$$

and Eqs.(35) and (53), we obtain the tetrad-tetrad coefficients of the connection;

$$\omega_{ijk} = \eta_{ij}A_k - \eta_{jk}A_i + \eta_{ki}A_j + \frac{1}{2}(\eta_{mi}\triangle^m{}_{jk} - \eta_{mk}\triangle^m{}_{ij} + \eta_{mj}\triangle^m{}_{ki}). \quad (55)$$

This decomposes naturally into a Levi-Civita part γ_{ijk} (which is independent of A_i) plus a "Weyl" part, $\tilde{\omega}_{ijk}$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{ijk} &= \gamma_{ijk} + \tilde{\omega}_{ijk}, & (56) \\ \gamma_{ijk} &= \frac{1}{2}(\eta_{mi}\triangle^m{}_{jk} - \eta_{mk}\triangle^m{}_{ij} + \eta_{mj}\triangle^m{}_{ki}), & (57) \\ \tilde{\omega}_{ijk} &= \eta_{ij}A_k - \eta_{jk}A_i + \eta_{ki}A_j. & (58) \end{aligned}$$

For clarity of exposition we point out where different variables are hidden. First note that $\triangle_{imn}$ can be decomposed, as in Eq.(39), as

$$\triangle_{imn} = \widehat{\triangle}_{imn}\Phi^{-1} + 2\widehat{e}_{[m}\Phi \cdot \eta_{n]\,i}\Phi^{-2}, \quad (59)$$

where $\widehat{\triangle}_{imn}$ is the same expression as $\triangle_{imn}$ but with $\Phi = 1$. The $\widehat{\triangle}_{imn}$ depends only on the (S, S^*). This means that γ_{ijk}, Eq.(57), also decomposes into terms that depend on the (S, S^*) and the Φ. Since $\Phi = \varpi\Phi_0[S, S^*]$, with $\varpi = \varpi(x^a)$, an arbitrary function of x^a, carried along. This gauge or conformal freedom will be discussed later.

In summary, we have shown that Eqs.(38) and (55) completely determine the ω_{ijK} in terms of the $\triangle^i{}_{JK}$ and the undetermined space-time parts of A, i.e., A_i.

3.1 A Theorem

To conclude this section we return to the vanishing of the trace-free part of $\triangle_{(ij)s}$ or the trace-free part of $\widehat{\triangle}_{(ij)s}$, i.e., to Eq.(45). They are nine complex equation for the determination of the tetrad parameters, $(\alpha, a, a^*, b, b^*, c)$. Thus either there must be several identities and/or conditions to be imposed on the S and S^*. By explicitly solving these equations, (see Appendix C), the results can be summarized in the following theorem:

Theorem 2 *The torsion free condition on the connection:*

1. *Uniquely determines the connection ω_{ij}, via Eqs.(55) and (38).*

2. Uniquely determines the tetrad parameters in terms of S and S^*, (see below).

3. Imposes a (complex) condition, the vanishing of the Wünschmann invariant, on S and S^*, (see below) with the tetrad parameters given by

$$\begin{aligned} b &= \frac{-1+\sqrt{1-S_R S_R^*}}{S_R^*}, && (60)\\ \alpha^2 &= \frac{1+bb^*}{(1-bb^*)^2}, && (61) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} a = {} & b^{-1}b^{*-1}(1-bb^*)^{-2}(1+bb^*)\{b^{*2}(-Db+bS_W-S_{W^*}) && (62)\\ & +b(-D^*b^*+b^*S^*_{W^*}-S^*_W)\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} c = {} & -\frac{Da+D^*a^*+T_W+T^*_{W^*}}{4}-\frac{aa^*(1+6bb^*+b^2b^{*2})}{2(1+bb^*)^2} && (63)\\ & +\frac{(1+bb^*)(bS_Z^*+b^*S_Z)}{2(1-bb^*)^2}+\frac{a(2ab-b^*S_{W^*})+a^*(2a^*b^*-bS^*_W)}{2(1+bb^*)}, \end{aligned}$$

and the differential (Wünschmann) condition imposed on S and S^* is

$$M \equiv \frac{Db+bD^*b+S_{W^*}-bS_W+b^2S^*_{W^*}-b^3S^*_W}{1-bb^*}=0. \qquad (64)$$

4 The Cartan Curvatures

In the previous section, we used the first structure equation, Eq.(30), to algebraically solve for the components of a torsion-free connection defined with the symmetry

$$\omega_{ij}=\omega_{[ij]}+\eta_{ij}A, \qquad (65)$$

uniquely in terms of (S,S^*) and the undetermined A_i and ϖ.

Our next goal is to compute the curvature two-forms, Θ_{ij}, defined by the *second structure equation*:

$$d\omega^i{}_j+\omega^i{}_k\wedge\omega^k{}_j=\Theta^i{}_j=\frac{1}{2}\Theta^i{}_{jLM}\theta^L\wedge\theta^M. \qquad (66)$$

By taking the exterior derivative of the first structure equation, Eq.(30), with the second structure equation, Eq.(66), we obtain the *first Bianchi identity*:

$$\Theta_{ij} \wedge \theta^j = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \Theta_{i[jLM]} = 0. \tag{67}$$

Splitting this into its tetrad-tetrad, tetrad-fiber, and fiber-fiber parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_{ijkm} + \Theta_{ikmj} + \Theta_{imjk} &= 0, & (68) \\ \Theta_{i[jk]s} &= 0, & (69) \\ \Theta_{ijss^*} &= 0, & (70) \end{aligned}$$

The last two relations are due to the fact that the first two indices of Θ_{ijLM} are only 4-dimensional, whereas the last two are 6-dimensional.

We calculate the Θ_{ij} as explicit functions of (S, S^*) and the undetermined A_i and ϖ. First, note that from Eqs.(65) and (66), it is straightforward to see that the Θ_{ij} inherits the symmetry of the ω_{ij}, and thus can be written as

$$\Theta_{ij} = \Theta_{[ij]} + \eta_{ij} dA, \tag{71}$$

with

$$dA = \frac{1}{2}(dA)_{LM}\theta^L \wedge \theta^M. \tag{72}$$

(This defines the components $(dA)_{LM}$.)

Next, we split the components Θ_{ijLM} into tetrad-tetrad parts, Θ_{ijkm}, and tetrad-fiber parts, Θ_{ijks}. (The fiber-fiber parts are identically zero from the first Bianchi identity). We first calculate the Θ_{ijkm}, which can be split into terms arising from the Levi-Civita part of the connection and terms arising from the Weyl part of the connection. These are denoted respectively by $\Re_{[ij][km]}$ and $\tilde{\Theta}_{ij[km]}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_{ij[km]} &= \Re_{[ij][km]} + \tilde{\Theta}_{ij[km]}, & (73) \\ &= \Re_{[ij][km]} + \tilde{\Theta}_{[ij][km]} + \eta_{ij}(dA)_{[km]}. \end{aligned}$$

The $\Re_{[ij][km]}$ are the components of the standard Riemann tensor of the (Levi-Civita) γ_{ijk} connection.

The $\tilde{\Theta}_{[ij][km]}$ depends on A and its derivatives. Denoting the covariant derivative associated with the Levi-Civita connection γ_{ijk}, by ∇_i, we have

$$\nabla_i A_j = e_i(A_j) - \gamma_{kji} A^k, \tag{74}$$

and

$$(dA)_{ij} = 2\nabla_{[i} A_{j]}. \tag{75}$$

$\tilde{\Theta}_{[ij][km]}$ can, thus, be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\Theta}_{[ij][km]} &= \eta_{j[k}\nabla_{m]}A_i - \eta_{i[k}\nabla_{m]}A_j + A^2\eta_{j[k}\eta_{m]\,i} \\ &\quad + A_j\eta_{i[k}A_{m]} - A_i\eta_{j[k}A_{m]}, \end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

where $A^2 = A^m A_m$.

Defining

$$R_{jm} \equiv \eta^{ik}\Theta_{ijkm}, \tag{77}$$

and using Eqs.(73) and (76), we obtain

$$R_{jm} = \Re_{(jm)} - \eta_{jm}\nabla_p A^p - 2\{\nabla_{(m}A_{j)} + \eta_{jm}A^2 - A_j A_m\} + 4\nabla_{[j}A_{m]}, \tag{78}$$

where the $\mathfrak{R}_{(jm)}$ are the components of the Ricci tensor of the γ_{ijk}.

If we also let

$$R \equiv \eta^{jm} R_{jm}, \tag{79}$$

then from Eq.(78), we obtain

$$R = \Re - 6\{\nabla_p A^p + A^2\}, \tag{80}$$

where $\mathfrak{R}$ is the standard Ricci scalar.

The tetrad-fiber part of Θ_{ij}, which is

$$\Theta_{ijks} = \eta_{ij}(dA)_{ks} + \eta_{ik}(dA)_{js} - \eta_{jk}(dA)_{is}, \tag{81}$$

will be derived below.

4.1 The First Cartan Curvature

The Cartan first curvature two-form is given by

$$\Omega_{ij} = \Theta_{ij} + \Psi_i \wedge \eta_{jk}\theta^k + \eta_{ik}\theta^k \wedge \Psi_j - \eta_{ij}\Psi_k \wedge \theta^k, \tag{82}$$

where the (Ricci) one-forms Ψ_i are appropriately chosen so that

$$\Omega_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{ijLM}\theta^L \wedge \theta^M, \tag{83}$$

satisfies the following conditions

$$\Omega_{ijkm} = \Omega_{[ij]km}, \tag{84}$$

$$\eta^{ik}\Omega_{ijkm} = 0, \tag{85}$$

$$\Omega_{ijks} = 0. \tag{86}$$

Note, from its definition, that Ω_{ij} also satisfies the first Bianchi identity, Eq.(67), i.e.,

$$\Omega_{ij} \wedge \theta^j = 0. \tag{87}$$

It is straightforward to show that the conditions Eqs.(84),(85) and (86) are satisfied uniquely by the one-form

$$\Psi_i = \Psi_{iK}\theta^K = \Psi_{ij}\theta^j + \Psi_{is}\theta^s + \Psi_{is^*}\theta^{s^*}, \tag{88}$$

with

$$\Psi_{ij} = -\frac{1}{4}R_{[ij]} - \frac{1}{2}(R_{(ij)} - \frac{1}{6}R\eta_{ij}). \tag{89}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{is} &= -(dA)_{is}, \\ \Psi_{is^*} &= -(dA)_{is^*}. \end{aligned} \tag{90}$$

From Eqs.(90), (82) and (86), we find Eq.(81),i.e.,

$$\Theta_{ijks} = \eta_{ij}(dA)_{ks} + \eta_{ik}(dA)_{js} - \eta_{jk}(dA)_{is}.$$

Using Eqs.(78) and (80), we obtain

$$\Psi_{ij} = \Im_{ij} - \nabla_{[i}A_{j]} - 2\{\nabla_{(i}A_{j)} + \frac{1}{2}\eta_{ij}A^2 - A_iA_i\}, \tag{91}$$

with

$$\Im_{ij} = -\frac{1}{2}(\Re_{(ij)} - \frac{1}{6}\Re\eta_{ij}). \tag{92}$$

By using Eq.(91), we can insert the above expression into Eq. (82), yielding

$$\Omega_{ijkm} = \Re_{ijkm} - \eta_{kj}\Im_{im} + \eta_{ki}\Im_{ijm} - \eta_{mi}\Im_{jk} + \eta_{mj}\Im_{ik}, \tag{93}$$

which is manifestly independent of the A_i. Furthermore, using Eq.(92), we recover the standard definition of the Weyl tensor,

$$\Omega_{ijkm} = C_{ijkm}. \tag{94}$$

4.2 Second Cartan Curvature

Finally, we define the second Cartan curvature (with the covariant exterior derivative $\mathfrak{D}$) of the one-form Ψ_i as

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_i &= d\Psi_i + \Psi_k \wedge \omega^k{}_i \equiv \mathfrak{D}\Psi_i, \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{iJK}\theta^J \wedge \theta^K. \end{aligned} \tag{95}$$

Using Eq.(88) in the above, we obtain, after a lengthy calculation, the simple results

$$\Omega_{imn} = \nabla^j C_{ijmn} + A^j C_{ijmn}, \tag{96}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{ims} &= 0, \\ \Omega_{iss^*} &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{97}$$

∇^j again is the Levi-Civita covariant derivative.

In section VI, the two Cartan curvatures will be used to construct the curvature of a conformal normal Cartan connection.

5 Synopsis

Since so many different quantities and their symbols have been introduced, we have added a few essentially pedagogical remarks concerning the placement of different variables and where the conformal transformation acts.

First we return to the definitions of θ^i, i.e., Eq.(15) and write them (and their related duals) as

$$\begin{aligned} \theta^i &= \Phi\,\widehat{\theta^i}, \qquad (98)\\ e_i &= \Phi^{-1}\,\widehat{e_i}, \qquad (99) \end{aligned}$$

and observe that $\triangle^i{}_{JK}$ and $\widehat{\triangle}^i{}_{JK}$ and their relationship, Eqs.(39) and (59), arise from

$$d\,\theta^i = \frac{1}{2}\,\triangle^i{}_{JK}\,\theta^j\wedge\theta^K \;\;\&\;\; d\,\widehat{\theta^i} = \frac{1}{2}\widehat{\triangle}^i{}_{JK}\,\widehat{\theta^j}\wedge\widehat{\theta^K}. \qquad (100)$$

The action of Φ, taking $\widehat{\theta^i}\Rightarrow\theta^i$, takes the metric

$$\widehat{g} = \eta_{ij}\widehat{\theta^i}\otimes\widehat{\theta^j} => g = \Phi^2\widehat{g}, \qquad (101)$$

where g and $\widehat{g}$ both depend, in general, on (s, s^*). However when we make the special choice $\Phi = \varpi\Phi_0$, Eq.(50), the resulting g is then a function on $\mathfrak{M}^4$ alone. What remains is the standard conformal freedom that is given by the choice of $\varpi(x^a)$. Whenever, in any expression, $\varpi(x^a)$, is changed,

$$\varpi(x^a)\Rightarrow f(x^a)\,\varpi(x^a), \qquad (102)$$

that change constitutes the effect of the conformal transformation.

All the further geometric quantities (the connection and different curvatures) developed and defined via the structure equations, contain the following quantities: our basic variables (S, S^*), the four arbitrary space-time components of the Weyl one-form $A = A_i\theta^i$ where $A_s = 0$, and the conformal factor $\varpi(x^a)$.

We give a brief survey of where these quantities appear:

a. All $\widehat{\triangle}_{ijK}$ and $\triangle_{ijs}$ depend only on (S, S^*), while $\triangle_{ijk}$depends on (S, S^*, ϖ). It is an easy task to see how $\triangle_{ijk}$ transforms when ϖ is changed.

b. Since $\omega_{ijs} = \triangle_{ijs}$, it thus depend only on (S, S^*).

c. All the quantities (S, S^*, A_i, ϖ) appear in the connection one-forms ω_{ijk}, which can be split into

$$\omega_{ijk} = \gamma_{ijk} + \tilde{\omega}_{ijk}, \qquad (103)$$

where the Levi-Civita part, γ_{ijk}, depends only on (S, S^*, ϖ) while $\tilde{\omega}_{ijk}$ depends only on the A_i.

d. The curvature $\Theta_{ij[km]}$ splits into two parts

$$\Theta_{ij[km]} = \Re_{[ij][km]} + \tilde{\Theta}_{ij[km]}, \tag{104}$$

where the (standard) Riemann curvature $\Re_{[ij][km]}$ depends on (S, S^*, ϖ) and $\tilde{\Theta}_{ij[km]}$ depends on everything.

e. The first Cartan curvature two-form, Ω_{ij}, *is* the Weyl tensor, C_{ijmn}, and depends only on (S, S^*, ϖ).

f. The second Cartan curvature two-form,

$$\Omega_i = \frac{1}{2}\{\nabla^m C_{imjk} + A^m C_{imjk}\}\theta^j \wedge \theta^k, \tag{105}$$

depends on everything, though the A_i appears explicitly just in the linear term.

g. Though the Ricci one-forms,

$$\Psi_i = \Psi_{ij}\theta^j + \Psi_{is}\theta^s + \Psi_{is^*}\theta^{s^*}, \tag{106}$$

depend on everything, their separate parts do not. Ψ_{is} depends only on the A_i. From

$$\Psi_{ij} = \Im_{ij} - \nabla_{[i}A_{j]} - 2\{\nabla_{(i}A_{j)} + \frac{1}{2}\eta_{ij}A^2 - A_iA_i\}, \tag{107}$$

we have that $\Im_{ij}$ depends only on (S, S^*, ϖ) while the remaining terms depends on everything.

Whenever a geometric quantity depended only on (S, S^*, ϖ) we could have said it depended on the Levi-Civita connection obtained from the metric $g = \varpi^2\Phi_0^2\,\widehat{g}$, Eq.(23), and referred to it as a conformal covariant.

6 Unification: Cartan's Normal Conformal Connection

From a pair of 2^{nd} order PDE's satisfying the Wünschmann condition we derived a rich geometric structure on the four-dimensional solution space of the PDE's. This structure includes: a conformal metric, a torsion-free connection and several different curvature tensors. Though it is not obvious, and the starting point of view is quite different, we are following Kobayashi's[16] development of Cartan's theory of normal conformal connections via the three structure equations, Eqs.(30), (66) and (95) and the Ricci one-forms, Eq.(88).

We now show that, *basically*, we have, in fact, recovered a (15 dimensional) principle bundle P over $\mathfrak{M}^4$ with group $H = CO(1,3) \otimes_s T^*$ and a Cartan normal conformal connection with values in the Lie algebra of $O(4,2)$. The group $H = CO(1,3) \otimes_s T^*$ is an 11-dimensional subgroup of $O(4,2)$[16] with T^* a four-dimensional translation group. More specifically we have recovered a six-dimensional subbundle, J^6, of this 15-dimensional Cartan bundle. The base space is the four-dimensional solution space $\mathfrak{M}^4$ and the two-dimensional fibers are formed by the integral curves of D and D^*.

We began with the pair of 2nd order PDE's (that satisfy the Wünschmann condition) and a set of four associated one-forms, θ^i, plus the two fiber one-forms, (ds, ds^*), on the six-dimensional space J^6. We then found the connection

$$\omega_{ij} = \omega_{[ij]} + A\eta_{ij},$$

satisfying the first (torsion-free) structure equation

$$d\theta^i + \omega^i{}_j \wedge \theta^j = 0, \tag{108}$$

where $A_s = A_{s^*} = 0$ and the four A_i are arbitrary. The first-Cartan curvature two-form was found via the second structure equation

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{ij} &= d\omega_{ij} + \eta^{kl}\omega_{ik} \wedge \omega_{lj} + \eta_{il}\theta^l \wedge \Psi_j + \Psi_i \wedge \theta^l \eta_{jl} - \eta_{ij}\Psi_k \wedge \theta^k && (109) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}C_{ijlm}\theta^l \wedge \theta^m, && (110) \end{aligned}$$

with the proper choice of the Ricci one-forms Ψ_i, Eq.(88). Finally the last structure equation and second-Cartan curvature two-form were introduced by

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_i &\equiv \mathfrak{D}\Psi_i = d\Psi_i + \eta^{jk}\Psi_j \wedge \omega_{ki} && (111) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\nabla^j C_{ijmn} + A^j C_{ijmn})\theta^m \wedge \theta^n. && (112) \end{aligned}$$

The question or issue is what is the meaning of these resulting structures?

These equations can be unified in the following fashion: we first group together the fifteen one-forms

$$\omega = (\theta^i,\ \omega_{[ij]},\ A,\ \Psi_j), \tag{113}$$

as the “Cartan connection”, represented by the 6x6 matrix of one-forms,

$$\omega^A_B = \begin{bmatrix} -A & \Psi_i & 0 \\ \theta^i & \eta^{ik}\omega_{[kj]} & \eta^{ij}\Psi_j \\ 0 & \eta_{ij}\theta^j & A \end{bmatrix}, \tag{114}$$

and the curvature two-forms, (T^j is the vanishing torsion)

$$R = (T^j = 0, \Omega^i{}_j, \Omega_i), \tag{115}$$

as the “Cartan curvature”, represented by the 6x6 matrix of two-forms,

$$R^A_B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Omega_i & 0 \\ 0 & \Omega^i{}_j & \eta^{ij}\Omega_j \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{116}$$

One can then see, by a straightforward calculation, that*remarkably* the three structure equations Eqs.(108), (109) and (111) are all encompassed in the single Cartan structure equation

$$R^A_B = d\omega^A_B + \omega^A_C \wedge \omega^C_B. \tag{117}$$

One finds that the one and two-form matrices ω^A_B and R^A_B take their values in the Lie algebra of the fifteen parameter group $O(4,2)$[16], though as forms they are in the six-space J^6. The $O(4,2)$ Lie algebra is graded as

$$O(4,2)' = \mathfrak{g}_{-1} + \mathfrak{g}_0 + \mathfrak{g}_1$$

with

$$\begin{gathered}\boxed{\theta^{j}\ \epsilon\ \mathfrak{g}_{-1}}, g \\ \boxed{(A,\, \omega^{k}{}_{i})\ \&\ \Omega^{k}{}_{i}\ \epsilon\ \mathfrak{g}_{0}}, \\ \boxed{\Psi_{i}\ \&\ \Omega_{i}\ \epsilon\ \mathfrak{g}_{1}}.\end{gathered} \tag{118}$$

Aside from the fact that the dimension count is not correct, i.e., the fibers are two-dimensional and are not the needed eleven dimensions, we have *all the conditions* for a Cartan $O(4,2)$ normal conformal connection [16]. In addition to the correct Lie algebra, we have: the three structure equations, Eqs.(108), (109) and (111), zero torsion, a trace-free first Cartan curvature Ω_{ij} (the Weyl tensor) and a second Cartan curvature Ω_i with the correct structure, i.e., vanishing fiber parts. It is clear that we are dealing with a six-dimensional subbundle of the full 15-dimensional bundle. The fibers should be coordinatized by the 11-dimensional subgroup $H = CO(3,1) \otimes_s T^{*4}$ of $O(4,2)$. The question is where and what are the missing coordinates needed to describe H?

The eleven coordinates (or parameters) must be such that when acting on the conformal metric, Eq.(23), it is left conformally unchanged. Actually we already have seven parameters, namely (s,s^{*},ϖ,A_i); we have from Eq.(51), that variations in s and s^{*} or multiplication by ϖ leaves Eq.(23) conformally unchanged. The A_i have no relationship with the metric.

The other four parameters could be chosen as follows:

a) Rescaling θ^{+} and θ^{-} respectively, by $\mathrm{e}^{i\psi}$ and $\mathrm{e}^{-i\psi}$ leaves Eq.(23) unchanged. (The parameters (s,s^{*},ψ) describe $O(3)$ transformations.)

b) One could take three-parameter, (γ,γ^{*},μ), linear combinations of the four θ^{i} that constitute Lorentz transformations with no change in the metric. For example, we could have the $(\theta^{0},\, \theta^{1})$ boost,

$$\theta'^{0} = \mu\theta^{0},\ \theta'^{1} = \mu^{-1}\theta^{1}, \tag{119}$$

and the null rotations given by,

$$\begin{align} \theta'^{0} &= \theta^{0}, \tag{120}\\ \theta'^{+} &= \theta^{+} + \gamma\theta^{0}, \tag{121}\\ \theta'^{-} &= \theta^{-} + \gamma^{*}\theta^{0}, \tag{122}\\ \theta'^{1} &= \theta^{1} + \gamma\theta^{-} + \gamma^{*}\theta^{+} + \gamma\gamma^{*}\theta^{0+}. \tag{123}\end{align}$$

The seven $(s, s^*, \psi, \gamma, \gamma^*, \mu, \varpi)$ parametrize the conformal Lorentz group, $CO(3,1)$ while A_i parametrize T^{*4}. With the exception of (s, s^*) all the remaining parameters, $(\psi, \gamma, \gamma^*, \mu, \varpi, A_i)$, are chosen as arbitrary functions on $\mathfrak{M}^4$.

It would have been possible to start with a generalized version of the θ^i [see remark #2] so that all eleven parameters in our equations appeared at the start. In the present work, we have effectively taken a six-dimensional subbundle (two-dimensional fibers) by choosing $\gamma = \gamma^* = \psi = 0$, $\mu = 1$, with ϖ an arbitrary but given function on $\mathfrak{M}^4$ and A_i four arbitrary functions on J^6. Since the A_i are arbitrary it is possible (and probably more attractive) to choose them to also be functions just on $\mathfrak{M}^4$. Only the (s, s^*) can vary on each fiber.

7 Conclusion

The work presented here addresses the issue of how four-dimensional differential geometry can be coded into pairs of 2^{nd} order PDE's. More specifically, we have shown how all Cartan $O(4,2)$ normal conformal connections can be so coded. Our ultimate goal, however, is a step beyond this; we want to know how to code the conformal Einstein equations into such pairs of 2^{nd} order PDE's. This would mean further conditions, over and above the Wünschmann condition, on the choice of the S and S^*. Though at the present time we do not know the details of these 'further conditions'. Nevertheless, there is a clear strategy for their determination.

It appears that they can be expressed as the vanishing of two (or three) different functionals of $S(Z, Z_s, Z_{s^*}, Z_{ss^*}, s, s^*)$ and $S^*(Z, Z_s, Z_{s^*}, Z_{ss^*}, s, s^*)$. These functionals can be derived from the vanishing of the Bach tensor[17] and an algebraic restriction on the Cartan curvature R^A_B[19], i.e., from

$$\nabla^m \nabla^n C_{mabn} + \frac{1}{2} R^{mn} C_{mabn} = 0, \tag{124}$$

$$C^{efgh}[C_{efgh} \nabla^d C_{cdab} - 4 \nabla^d C_{efgd} C_{chab}] = 0. \tag{125}$$

It is known that both the vanishing of the Bach tensor, Eq.(124), and this restriction on the Cartan curvature, Eq.(125), yields metrics that are conformally related to vacuum Einstein metrics. It seems very likely that in the context of the Cartan connection these tensor equations, with their many components, can be reduced to simply two (or three) equations for the S and S^*.

At the moment, the problem appears to be algebraically quite formidable, however, with computer algebra available, it seems to be manageable. Work on the problem has begun.

8 Acknowledgments

We happily acknowledge, with many thanks, the help and knowledge given to us by Pawel Nurowski. ETN and KP thank the National Science Foundation for support under Grants No. 0088951 and 0244513. CK and EG thank CONICET and the NSF for financial assistance.

References

[1] M.A.Tresse, *Determination des Invariantes Ponctuels de l'Equation Differentielle du Second Ordre* $y'' = \omega(x, y, y')$, Hirzel, Leipzig (1896).

[2] M.A. Tresse, *Sur les Invariants Differentiels des Groupes Continus de Transformations*, Acta Math 18, 1 (1894).

[3] S. Lie, *Klassifikation und Integration von gewohnlichen Differentialgleichungen zwischen x, y , die eine Gruppe von Transformationen gestatten III, in Gesammelte Abhandlungen*, Vol. 5, Teubner, Leipzig (1924).

[4] E. Cartan, *Sur les Varietes a Connection Projective*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 52, 205 (1924); Oeuvres III, 1, N70, 825, Paris, (1955).

[5] E. Cartan, *Les Espaces Generalises e L'integration de Certaines Classes d'Equations Differentielles*, C. R. Acad. Sci., **206** , 1425 (1938).

[6] E. Cartan, *La Geometria de las Ecuaciones Diferenciales de Tercer Orden*, Rev. Mat. Hispano-Amer. **4**, 1, (1941).

[7] E. Cartan, *Sur une Classe d'Espaces de Weyl,* Ann. Sc. Ec. Norm. Sup., 3e serie 60, 1 (1943).

[8] S-S. Chern, *The Geometry of the Differential Equation* $y''' = F(x,y,y',y'')$, in Selected Papers, Springer-Verlag, (1978), original (1940)

[9] K. Wünschmann, *Über Berührungsbedingungen bei Integralkurven von Differentialgleichungen,* Inaug. Dissert., Teubner, Leipzig (1905).

[10] C. N. Kozameh, E.T. Newman, *Theory of Light Cone Cuts of Null Infinity*, J. Math. Phys., **24**, 2481 (1983).

[11] S. Frittelli, N. Kamran, E.T. Newman, *Differential Equations and Conformal Geometry,* Journal of Geometry and Physics, **43** , 133 (2002).

[12] S.Frittelli, C.Kozameh, E T.Newman, P. Nurowski, *Cartan Normal Conformal Connections from Differential Equations*, Class. Quantum Grav. **19**, 5235 (2002).

[13] S.Frittelli, C. Kozameh, E.T. Newman, *Dynamics of Light-Cone Cuts of Null Infinity*, Phys. Rev. D. **56**, 4729 (1997).

[14] S. Frittelli, C. N. Kozameh, E.T. Newman, *GR via Characteristic Surfaces*, J. Math. Phys. **36**, 4984 (1995).

[15] S. Frittelli, C. Kozameh, E.T. Newman, *Differential Geometry from Differential Equations*, Communications in Mathematical Physics, **223**, p.383 (2001).

[16] S. Kobayashi, *Transformation Groups in Differential Geometry,* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York (1970)

[17] M. Korzynski, J. Lewandowski, *The Normal Conformal Cartan Connection and the Bach Tensor",* Class. Quantum Grav. **20**, No 16, p.3745-3764, (2003)

[18] Merkulov S A , *A Conformally Invariant Theory of Gravitation and Electromagnetism*, Class. Quantum. Grav **1**, p.349-354, (1984)

[19] C. Kozameh, E.T. Newman, P. Nurowski, *Conformal Einstein equations and Cartan conformal connection*, Class. Quantum Grav. **20**, No 14, p.3029-3035, (2003).

9 Appendices

In appendix A we give the relationship between the hatted and unhatted quantities and then explicitly display the hatted quantities in appendix B. In appendix C we find the tetrad parameters as functions of (S, S^*).

9.1 Appendix

The expressions for the $\triangle' s$, the G's and the $\omega' s$ are most easily expressed through their hatted counterparts. The relations between the hatted and unhatted quantities are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \triangle_{ijs} &= \widehat{\triangle}_{ijs} - \eta_{ij}\Phi^{-1}D\Phi, & (126)\\ \triangle_{ijk} &= \widehat{\triangle}_{ijk}\Phi^{-1} + 2e_{[j}\Phi\,\eta_{k]\,i}\Phi^{-2}, & (127)\end{aligned}$$

$$G_{ij} = \widehat{G}_{ij} + 2\eta_{ij}\Phi^{-1}D\Phi, \qquad (128)$$

$$\omega_{ijk} = \widehat{\omega}_{ijk}\Phi^{-1} + 2\widehat{e}_{[j}\Phi\cdot\eta_{i]k}\;\Phi^{-2}, \qquad (129)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{ijs} &= \widehat{\omega}_{ijs} - \eta_{ij}\Phi^{-1}D\Phi, & (130)\\ \omega_{ijs^*} &= \widehat{\omega}_{ijs^*} - \eta_{ij}\Phi^{-1}D^*\Phi, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{A}_s &= -\frac{1}{2}S_W + \frac{b^*S_{W^*} - 2ab}{(1+bb^*)} + \frac{a^*(1+6bb^*+b^2b^{*2})}{2(1+bb^*)^2} \Rightarrow A_s = 0. & (131)\\ A_i &= \widehat{A}_i\Phi^{-1} & (132)\end{aligned}$$

They are easily derived from their definitions, (Secs. II and III) using the two different choices of Φ, ($\Phi = 1$ and $\Phi = \varpi\Phi_0$). Note that from the differential equation for Φ, Eq.(50), we have that

$$\Phi^{-1}D\Phi = \frac{1}{4}\widehat{\triangle}^{k}{}_{ks}.$$

In the next appendix the hatted quantities ($\widehat{\triangle}$, $\widehat{G}$, $\widehat{\omega}$) are explicitly displayed as functions of (S, S^*, $\widehat{A}_i$), leading to the expressions for ($\triangle$, G, ω) in terms of (S, S^*, $\widehat{A}_i, \varpi$).

9.2 Appendix

Defining the quantities

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma &\equiv 1 - bb^*, && (133)\\
\sigma &\equiv a - b^* a^*, && (134)\\
\zeta &\equiv a_R - b^* a^*_R. && (135)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\hat{h}_+ = \hat{e}_+ + b^* \hat{e}_-, \qquad (136)$$

(noting that $\widehat{\triangle}^-{}_{JK}$ and $\widehat{G}^*_{ij}$ can be obtained by complex conjugation) we have the three sets, ($\widehat{\triangle}$, $\widehat{G}$, $\widehat{\omega}$):

I. The $\widehat{\triangle}$'s:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\triangle}^0{}_{0+} &= \widehat{\triangle}^0{}_{0-} = \widehat{\triangle}^0{}_{01} = \widehat{\triangle}^0{}_{0s} = \widehat{\triangle}^0{}_{0s^*} = \widehat{\triangle}^0{}_{+-} = 0, \qquad (137)\\
\widehat{\triangle}^0{}_{+1} &= \widehat{\triangle}^0{}_{-1} = \widehat{\triangle}^0{}_{1s} = \widehat{\triangle}^0{}_{1s^*} = \widehat{\triangle}^0{}_{ss^*} = 0,\\
\widehat{\triangle}^0{}_{+s} &= \widehat{\triangle}^0{}_{-s^*} = \frac{-1}{\alpha\gamma},\\
\widehat{\triangle}^0{}_{+s^*} &= \frac{b^*}{\alpha\gamma},\\
\widehat{\triangle}^0{}_{-s} &= \frac{b}{\alpha\gamma},\\
\widehat{\triangle}^+{}_{0+} &= \hat{e}_0(\ln\alpha) - \frac{b_0^*\hat{e}(b)}{\gamma},\\
\widehat{\triangle}^+{}_{0-} &= \frac{\hat{e}_0(b)}{\gamma},\\
\widehat{\triangle}^+{}_{01} &= 0,\\
\widehat{\triangle}^+{}_{0s} &= \alpha(bc - \hat{e}_0(S)),\\
\widehat{\triangle}^+{}_{0s^*} &= \alpha(c - b\hat{e}_0(S^*)),\\
\widehat{\triangle}^+{}_{+-} &= \frac{\hat{h}_+(b)}{\gamma} - \hat{e}_-(\ln\alpha),\\
\widehat{\triangle}^+{}_{+1} &= \frac{\alpha b^* b_R - \gamma\alpha_R}{\alpha\gamma},\\
\widehat{\triangle}^+{}_{+s} &= -D(\ln\alpha) + \frac{b^*Db - \alpha\gamma\hat{e}_+(S) + b\sigma}{\gamma},\\
\widehat{\triangle}^+{}_{+s^*} &= -D^*(\ln\alpha) + \frac{b^*D^*b - \alpha\gamma b\hat{e}_+(S^*) + \sigma}{\gamma},\\
\widehat{\triangle}^+{}_{-1} &= \frac{-b_R}{\gamma},\\
\widehat{\triangle}^+{}_{-s} &= \frac{-Db - \alpha\gamma\hat{e}_-(S) + b\sigma^*}{\gamma},\\
\widehat{\triangle}^+{}_{-s^*} &= \frac{-D^*b - \alpha\gamma b\hat{e}_-(S^*) + \sigma^*}{\gamma},\\
\widehat{\triangle}^+{}_{1s} &= -\alpha(b + S_R),\\
\widehat{\triangle}^+{}_{1s^*} &= -\alpha(1 + bS_R^*),\\
\widehat{\triangle}^+{}_{ss^*} &= 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}
\widehat{\triangle}^{1}{}_{0+} & = & -\hat{e}_{+}(c)+\dfrac{\hat{e}_{0}(a)-b_{0}^{*}\hat{e}(a^{*})}{\alpha\gamma}, \\
\widehat{\triangle}^{1}{}_{0-} & = & -\hat{e}_{-}(c)+\dfrac{\hat{e}_{0}(a^{*})-b\hat{e}_{0}(a)}{\alpha\gamma}, \\
\widehat{\triangle}^{1}{}_{01} & = & -c_{R}, \\
\widehat{\triangle}^{1}{}_{0s} & = & -Dc+a^{*}c-\hat{e}_{0}(T)-a\hat{e}_{0}(S), \\
\widehat{\triangle}^{1}{}_{0s^{*}} & = & -D^{*}c+ac-\hat{e}_{0}(T^{*})-a_{0}^{*}\hat{e}(S^{*}), \\
\widehat{\triangle}^{1}{}_{+-} & = & \dfrac{\hat{h}_{+}(a^{*})-\hat{h}_{-}(a)}{\alpha\gamma}, \\
\widehat{\triangle}^{1}{}_{+1} & = & \dfrac{-\zeta}{\alpha\gamma}, \\
\widehat{\triangle}^{1}{}_{+s} & = & -(\hat{e}_{+}(T)+a\hat{e}_{+}(S))+\dfrac{b^{*}Da^{*}-Da-c+a^{*}\sigma}{\alpha\gamma}, \\
\widehat{\triangle}^{1}{}_{+s^{*}} & = & -(\hat{e}_{+}(T^{*})+a_{+}^{*}\hat{e}(S^{*}))+\dfrac{b^{*}(D^{*}a^{*}+c)-D^{*}a+a\sigma}{\alpha\gamma}, \\
\widehat{\triangle}^{1}{}_{-1} & = & \dfrac{-\zeta^{*}}{\alpha\gamma}, \\
\widehat{\triangle}^{1}{}_{-s} & = & -(\hat{e}_{-}(T)+a\hat{e}_{-}(S))+\dfrac{b(Da+c)-Da^{*}+a^{*}\sigma^{*}}{\alpha\gamma}, \\
\widehat{\triangle}^{1}{}_{-s^{*}} & = & -(\hat{e}_{-}(T^{*})+a_{-}^{*}\hat{e}(S^{*}))+\dfrac{bD^{*}a-D^{*}a^{*}-c+a\sigma^{*}}{\alpha\gamma}, \\
\widehat{\triangle}^{1}{}_{1s} & = & -(T_{R}+a^{*}+aS_{R}), \\
\widehat{\triangle}^{1}{}_{1s^{*}} & = & -(T_{R}^{*}+a+a^{*}S_{R}^{*}), \\
\widehat{\triangle}^{1}{}_{ss^{*}} & : & =0.
\end{array}
\tag{138}
$$

II. The $\widehat{G}$'s:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{G}_{00} &= 2(Dc + aS_Z + T_Z - c(aS_R + T_R + a^*)), \qquad (139)\\
\widehat{G}_{0+} &= \alpha^{-1}(1-bb^*)^{-1}\{\alpha^2(1-bb^*)[c(1+b^*S_R) - b^*S_Z]\\
&\quad -b^*(Da^* - aa^*S_R + aS_{W^*} - (a^*)^2 + T_{W^*} - a^*T_R)\\
&\quad +Da + c + T_W + aS_W - aT_R - a^2S_R - aa^*\},\\
\widehat{G}_{0-} &= \alpha^{-1}(1-bb^*)^{-1}\{\alpha^2(1-bb^*)[c(b+S_R) - S_Z]\\
&\quad -b(c + Da - aT_R - aa^* - a^2S_R + aS_W + T_W)\\
&\quad +Da^* + T_{W^*} + aS_{W^*} - a^*T_R - aa^*S_R - (a^*)^2\},\\
\widehat{G}_{01} &= a^* + aS_R + T_R,\\
\widehat{G}_{++} &= -2\,(1-bb^*)^{-1}\{b^*(a^* - b^*S_{W^*} + a^*b^*S_R - aS_R + S_W) + Db^* - a\},\\
\widehat{G}_{+-} &= \alpha^{-1}(1-bb^*)^{-1}\{(1-bb^*)[\alpha(a^* - S_W + aS_R) - 2D\alpha] + \alpha D(bb^*)\},\\
\widehat{G}_{+1} &= \alpha^{-1}(1-bb^*)^{-1}\{1 - \alpha^2(1-bb^*)(1+b^*S_R)\},\\
\widehat{G}_{--} &= -2\,(1-bb^*)^{-1}\{b(ab - a^* + aS_R - S_W) + Db - a^*S_R + S_{W^*}\},\\
\widehat{G}_{-1} &= \alpha^{-1}(1-bb^*)^{-1}\{-b - \alpha^2(1-bb^*)(b+S_R)\},\\
\widehat{G}_{11} &= 0.
\end{aligned}
$$

III. The $\widehat{\omega}$'s:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\omega}_{0+} &= \{\hat{e}_+(c) + \frac{b_0^*\hat{e}(a^*) - \hat{e}_0(a)}{\alpha\gamma}\}\widehat{\theta}^0 + \{\widehat{A}_+ - \frac{\zeta}{2\alpha\gamma}\}\widehat{\theta}^1 + \frac{\hat{e}_0(b^*)}{\gamma}\widehat{\theta}^+ (140)\\
&\quad +\{\widehat{A}_0 + \frac{2\hat{e}_0(bb^*) + \alpha\gamma^2(\hat{h}_+(a^*) - \hat{h}_-(a))}{2\gamma(1+bb^*)}\}\widehat{\theta}^-\\
&\quad +\frac{\gamma c - b^*S_Z(1+bb^*)}{\alpha\gamma^2}\widehat{\theta}^s - \frac{b^*\gamma c + S_Z^*(1+bb^*)}{\alpha\gamma^2}\widehat{\theta}^{s^*},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\widehat{\omega}_{0-} = (\widehat{\omega}_{0+})^*,
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\omega}_{01} &= c_R^0\widehat{\theta}^0 + \{\widehat{A}_+ + \frac{\zeta}{2\alpha\gamma}\}\widehat{\theta}^+ + \{\widehat{A}_- + \frac{\zeta^*}{2\alpha\gamma}\}\theta^- + 2\widehat{A}_1\widehat{\theta}^1\\
&\quad +2\widehat{A}_s\widehat{\theta}^s + 2\widehat{A}_{s^*}\widehat{\theta}^{s^*},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\widehat{\omega}_{10} = -\widehat{\omega}_{01} + 2\widehat{A},
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\omega}_{+-} &= \{-A_0 + \frac{b_0^*\hat{e}(b) - b\hat{e}_0(b^*)}{2\gamma} + \frac{\alpha\gamma(\hat{h}_-(a) - \hat{h}_+(a^*))}{2(1+bb^*)}\}\widehat{\theta}^0 \\
&-\{\widehat{A}_1 + \frac{bb_R^* - b^*b_R}{2\gamma}\}\widehat{\theta}^1 + \{-\frac{\hat{h}_-(b^*)}{\gamma} + \frac{(3+bb^*)\hat{e}_+(bb^*)}{2\gamma(1+bb^*)}\}\widehat{\theta}^+ \\
&-\{-\frac{\hat{h}_+(b)}{\gamma} + \frac{(3+bb^*)\hat{e}_-(bb^*)}{2\gamma(1+bb^*)} + 2\widehat{A}_-\}\widehat{\theta}^- \\
&-\{\frac{\gamma(S_W + 2A_s) + a^*(3+bb^*)}{4} - \frac{ab(1+3bb^*)}{2(1+bb^*)}\}\widehat{\theta}^s \\
&+\{\frac{\gamma S_{W^*}^* + (a - 2A_{s^*})(3+bb^*)}{4} - \frac{a^*b^*(1+3bb^*)}{2(1+bb^*)}\}\widehat{\theta}^{s^*},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\widehat{\omega}_{-+} = -\widehat{\omega}_{+-} - 2\widehat{A},
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\omega}_{+1} &= \{-\widehat{A}_+ + \frac{\zeta}{2\alpha\gamma}\}\widehat{\theta}^0 - \frac{b_R^*}{\gamma}\widehat{\theta}^+ - \{\widehat{A}_1 + \frac{(bb^*)_R}{\gamma(1+bb^*)}\}\widehat{\theta}^- \\
&+\frac{\alpha\gamma}{1+bb^*}\widehat{\theta}^s - \frac{\alpha\gamma b^*}{1+bb^*}\widehat{\theta}^{s^*},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\widehat{\omega}_{-1} = (\widehat{\omega}_{+1})^*.
$$

9.3 Appendix

In this appendix, which is long and very complicated but given for completeness, we obtain the tetrad parameters and conditions on S and S^* that uniquely determine our torsion free connection. The vanishing of the trace free part of $\widehat{\triangle}_{(ij)s}$, i.e., conditions (48), gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{G}_{01} + \widehat{G}_{+-} &= \widehat{G}_{01}^* + \widehat{G}_{+-}^* = 0, && (141) \\
\widehat{G}_{ij} &= \widehat{G}_{ij}^* = 0, \text{ for } (i,j) \not\in \{(0,1),(+,-)\}. && (142)
\end{aligned}
$$

The explicit expressions for $\widehat{G}_{ij}$ given in the previous appendix are used to *i)* solve for the tetrad parameters and *ii)* derive the Wünschmann condition.

In what follows, we will often encounter pairs of equations that are complex-conjugate to one another. In these instances, we will list only one of the equations and imply the other. When we want to refer to the conjugate of a listed equation, we will write the listed equation's reference number with a super-script (*).

We start with the equations $\widehat{G}_{+1} = 0$, $\widehat{G}_{-1} = 0$, $\widehat{G}^*_{+1} = 0$, and $\widehat{G}^*_{-1} = 0$, which depend only on b, b^*, and α. They are four equations for three variables that satisfy an identity. From $\widehat{G}_{+1} = 0$ and $\widehat{G}^*_{-1} = 0$, we have

$$b^* S_R = b S^*_R. \tag{143}$$

Next, using $\widehat{G}^*_{-1} = 0$ and $\widehat{G}_{-1} = 0$ to eliminate α^2, we obtain

$$b = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{1 - S_R S^*_R}}{S^*_R}. \tag{144}$$

(We have chosen the positive root since we want b to vanish when S vanishes.) One sees that b^* is the complex conjugate of b. It is useful to invert Eqs.(144) and (144*), yielding

$$\begin{aligned} S_R &= \frac{-2b}{1 + bb^*}\ , \\ S^*_R &= \frac{-2b^*}{1 + bb^*}. \end{aligned} \tag{145}$$

From Eq.(145) and $\widehat{G}_{+1} = 0$, we find

$$\alpha^2 = \frac{1 + bb^*}{(1 - bb^*)^2}. \tag{146}$$

All four equations, $\{\widehat{G}_{+1} = 0,\ \widehat{G}_{-1} = 0,\ \widehat{G}^*_{+1} = 0,\ \widehat{G}^*_{-1} = 0\}$, are satisfied by Eqs.(144), (144*) and (146).

Our next step is to determine a, a^*, and the Wünschmann condition from the equations $\widehat{G}_{01} + \widehat{G}_{+-} = 0$, $\widehat{G}_{++} = 0$, $\widehat{G}_{--} = 0$, and their conjugates. From this set of six equations we will be able to solve for $(a,\ a^*)$, find restrictions on $(\ S, S^*)$ and obtain further identities.

We first state some useful relationships. Taking D of Eq.(146) we have, after some simplification,

$$D\alpha = \frac{\alpha D(bb^*)(3 + bb^*)}{2(1 + bb^*)(1 - bb^*)}. \tag{147}$$

Next, (see Eq.(6)) we find $T_R = T_R[Db, Db^*, b, S]$ and $T_R^* = T_R^*[Db, Db^*, b, S]$. By first taking D^* of Eq.(145) and D of Eq.(145*), i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} D^*(S_R) &= D^*(\frac{-2b}{1+bb^*}), \\ D^*(S_R^*) &= D(\frac{-2b^*}{1+bb^*}), \end{aligned} \tag{148}$$

then using, Eq.(9), to commute the R-derivative and the fiber-derivative, we obtain two equations containing T_R and T_R^* . After simplifying with Eqs.(145), they become

$$\begin{aligned} T_R &= \frac{4b(Db^* - b^{*2}Db)}{(1+bb^*)(1-bb^*)^2} + \frac{2(b^2D^*b^* - D^*b + 2b^2S_W^*)}{(1-bb^*)^2} \\ &\quad + \frac{S_W(1+bb^*)^2}{(1-bb^*)^2} - \frac{2(1+bb^*)(b^*S_{W^*} + bS_{W^*}^*)}{(1-bb^*)^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{149}$$

We are now in a position to find a, a^*, and the Wünschmann condition. First, from $\widehat{G}_{01} + \widehat{G}_{+-} = 0$ and $\widehat{G}_{01}^* + \widehat{G}_{+-}^* = 0$, we solve for a and a^*. With the aid of Eqs.(147), (149) and their conjugates, we find

$$a = \frac{(1+bb^*)[b^{*2}Db + Db^* + D^*(bb^*) + (1-bb^*)(b^*S_W + bS_W^*)]}{(1-bb^*)^3}. \tag{150}$$

When they are inserted into $\widehat{G}_{--} = 0$, we find that S must obey the differential condition

$$M \equiv \frac{Db + bD^*b + S_{W^*} - bS_W + b^2S_{W^*}^* - b^3S_W^*}{1-bb^*} = 0, \tag{151}$$

where b is the known expression in terms of S and S^*. The expression $M = M[Db, D^*b, b]$ is known as the generalized Wünschmann invariant. Its vanishing is the condition on the S and S^*, i.e., on the original pair of PDE's, for the existence of a torsion-free connection.

This condition tells us that this invariant must vanish if we are to find a non-trivial torsion free connection. By substituting Db^*, from the Wünschmann invariant and its conjugate, into Eqs.(150) and (150*), our expression for a becomes

$$\begin{aligned} a &= b^{-1}b^{*-1}(1-bb^*)^{-2}(1+bb^*)\{b^{*2}(M-Db+bS_W-S_{W^*}) \\ &\quad +b(M^*-D^*b^*+b^*S^*_{W^*}-S^*_W)\}. \end{aligned} \tag{152}$$

or, with $M = M^* = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a &= b^{-1}b^{*-1}(1-bb^*)^{-2}(1+bb^*)\{b^{*2}(bS_W-Db-S_{W^*}) \\ &\quad +b(b^*S^*_{W^*}-D^*b^*-S^*_W)\}. \end{aligned} \tag{153}$$

Summarizing our results so far; we have obtained the five tetrad parameters, (b, b^*, α, a, a^*), as well as the Wünschmann condition in terms of S and S^*. The search for the last parameter, i.e., c, is the most interesting and at the same time the most difficult part of the construction.

There are four equations, namely, $\widehat{G}_{0+} = 0$, $\widehat{G}_{0-} = 0$, $\widehat{G}^*_{0+} = 0$, and $\widehat{G}^*_{0-} = 0$, for c. As we will see below, three of those equations become identities once we algebraically solve for c. It is, however, instructive to keep the Wünschmann invariant different from zero when solving the equations. We then explicitly show how its vanishing yields a unique solution for c, as well satisfying the remaining identities among the $\widehat{G}_{ij}$'s. Thus, for the subsequent calculations, M is left in the equations. We begin by

$$Db = M + bS_W - S_{W^*} + \frac{b(1-bb^*)(ab-a^*)}{1+bb^*}, \tag{154}$$

$$Db^* = b^*(b^*S_{W^*} - S_W) - bM^* + \frac{(1-bb^*)(a-a^*b^*)}{1+bb^*}. \tag{155}$$

Next, we insert the left-hand sides of Eqs. (154), (155), and their conjugates into Eqs. (149) and (149*) to find $T_R = T_R[a, b; M]$ and $T^*_R = T^*_R[a, b; M]$. The result is

$$T_R = (\tau + S_W) + \frac{2(3ab - b^*S_{W^*})}{1+bb^*} - \frac{2a^*(1+4bb^*+b^2b^{*2})}{(1+bb^*)^2}, \tag{156}$$

where $\tau = \tau[M]$ (which vanishes with M) is given below.

Third, using the integrability condition, one shows that the vectors D and D^* commute. In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} DD^*b &= D^*Db, \\ DD^*b^* &= D^*Db^*. \end{aligned} \tag{157}$$

Thus by taking the appropriate fiber-derivatives of the four Eqs.(154), (154*), (155), and (155*), simplifying with Eqs.(9), (154), (155), and their conjugates, and by using the Eqs.(157), we obtain two equations containing Da, D^*a, Da^*, and D^*a^*. They can be solved for $Da^* = Da^*[Da, D^*a^*]$ and $D^*a = D^*a[Da, D^*a^*]$ to find

$$\begin{aligned} Da^* &= (\Upsilon + a^*S_W - a^{*2} - T_{W^*}) + \frac{S_Z(1+b^2b^{*2}) + 2b^2S_Z^*}{(1-bb^*)^2} \\ &+ \frac{b(Da - D^*a^* + T_W - T_{W^*}^* + 4aa^*) - 2a^*b^*S_{W^*}}{(1+bb^*)} \\ &- \frac{aS_{W^*}(1+b^2b^{*2}) + 2b^2(2a^2 + a^*S_W^*)}{(1+bb^*)^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{158}$$

The term $\Upsilon = \Upsilon[DM, D^*M, M]$, which vanishes with M, is given below. Finally, in addition to Eq.(158) above, we can use the integrability condition to derive another identity on the fiber-derivatives of a and a^*. We begin by taking D^* of Eq.(156):

$$D^*(T_R) = D^*[(\tau + S_W) + \frac{2(3ab - b^*S_{W^*})}{1+bb^*} - \frac{2a^*(1+4bb^*+b^2b^{*2})}{(1+bb^*)^2}]. \tag{159}$$

On the left-hand side, we use Eq.(9*) to commute the R -derivative and the fiber-derivative so that we obtain the term $U_R = \partial_R(D^*T)$, where

$$U \equiv D^*T = D^{*2}S = D^2S^* = DT^* \tag{160}$$

denotes the integrability condition. We can then solve this equation for U_R. Refer to the U_R that we obtain in this manner as $U_R^{(1)}$. In a similar manner, we can obtain $U_R^{(2)}$ by taking D of Eq.(156*). Then equate $U_R^{(1)}$ and $U_R^{(2)}$, from which we find an identity on Da and D^*a^*. With the use of Eqs.(9), (145), (154), (155), (158), and their conjugates this identity becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &(\Gamma - \Gamma^* + Da - D^*a^* + T_W - T_{W^*}^*) + \frac{2(1+bb^*)(bS_Z^* - b^*S_Z)}{(1-bb^*)^2} \\ &+ \frac{4(a^{*2}b^* - a^2b) + 2(ab^*S_{W^*} - a^*bS_W^*)}{1+bb^*} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{161}$$

The terms $\Gamma = \Gamma[DM, D^*M, M]$ and its conjugate vanish with M and are given below. We are now in a position to find c from the four equations $\widehat{G}_{0+} = 0$, $\widehat{G}_{0-} = 0$, $\widehat{G}^*_{0+} = 0$, and $\widehat{G}^*_{0-} = 0$. We algebraically solve each of the four equations for c, calling each solution $c^{(i)}$. Next, we replace S_R , α^2, T_R, and Da^* by Eqs. (145), (146), (156), and (158), and use Eq.(161) to simplify. Finally, we separate each $c^{(i)}$ into a piece that contains all terms with the Wünschmann condition and its fiber-derivatives, namely $\xi^{(i)}$, and another piece that contains no Wünschmann terms, namely $C^{(i)}$, so that $c^{(i)}$ has the form

$$c^{(i)} = C^{(i)} + \xi^{(i)},$$

for all i. It is straightforward to verify that the four $C^{(i)}$ are real and equal. Imposing the Wünschmann condition, $M = M^* = 0$, so that the $\xi^{(i)} = 0$, then $C^{(i)} = c^{(i)} = c$, and we have our final expression for c, namely

$$\begin{aligned} c &= -\frac{Da + D^*a^* + T_W + T^*_{W^*}}{4} - \frac{aa^*(1 + 6bb^* + b^2b^{*2})}{2(1 + bb^*)^2} \\ &\quad + \frac{(1 + bb^*)(bS^*_Z + b^*S_Z)}{2(1 - bb^*)^2} + \frac{a(2ab - b^*S_{W^*}) + a^*(2a^*b^* - bS^*_W)}{2(1 + bb^*)}. \end{aligned} \tag{162}$$

Had the Wünschmann invariant been nonvanishing the whole construction obviously would have failed. Having determined all the tetrad parameters, we still have to verify that $\widehat{G}_{00} = 0$ and $\widehat{G}^*_{00} = 0$. By inspection, we see that these equations contain fiber-derivatives of c. In fact, by explicitly taking these fiber derivatives on c, we find that $\widehat{G}_{00} = 0$ and $\widehat{G}^*_{00} = 0$ are identically satisfied. We see this in the following fashion:

From Eqs.(158), (158*), (161) and (162), we find

$$\begin{aligned} Da^* &= a^*S_W - aS_{W^*} - T_{W^*} + \frac{2a^*(2ab - b^*S_{W^*})}{1 + bb^*} \\ &\quad + \frac{S_Z(1 + bb^*)^2}{(1 - bb^*)^2} - \frac{a^{*2}(1 + 6bb^* + b^2b^{*2})}{(1 + bb^*)^2}, \end{aligned} \tag{163}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Da &= -(2c + T_W) + \frac{2a(2ab - b^*S_{W^*})}{1 + bb^*} \\ &\quad + \frac{2b^*S_Z(1 + bb^*)}{(1 - bb^*)^2} - \frac{aa^*(1 + 6bb^* + b^2b^{*2})}{(1 + bb^*)^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{164}$$

By taking D^* of Eq.(163) and D of Eq.(164^*), subtracting them and using the commutability of D and D^*, we have

$$Dc = cS_W - T_Z - aS_Z + \frac{2c(2ab - b^* S_{W^*})}{(1+bb^*)} - \frac{ca^*(1+6bb^* + b^2 b^{*2})}{(1+bb^*)^2}, \quad (165)$$

which is equivalent to $\widehat{G}_{00} = 0$.

Note that in the above analysis we have used the following expressions, all of which vanish when $M = M^* = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau &= 2(b^* \nu - b^2 \nu^*), \\
\Upsilon &= 2b\rho + 2(1+bb^*)^{-1}\{\mu(1-bb^*) + \nu[a^* b^* + a(1 - bb^* - b^2 b^{*2})] \\
&\quad + b^2 \mu^* (1-bb^*) + b^2 \nu^* [ab + a^*(1 - bb^* - b^2 b^{*2})]\}, \\
\Gamma &= -b^*[4\mu + 2\nu(2abb^* + a^* b^* + 3a)],
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi_1 &= \xi_4^* = b\{\mu^*(1-bb^*) + b^*\rho + \frac{1}{2}\nu^*[a^*(3 - 2b^2 b^{*2}) - ab]\} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} b^* \nu (a - a^* b^*),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi_2 &= \xi_3^* = \frac{1}{b}\{\mu(1-bb^*) + b\rho + \frac{1}{2}\nu[a(2 + bb^* - 2b^2 b^{*2}) - a^* bb^{*2}]\} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} b \nu^* (a^* - ab),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu &\equiv 2^{-1}(1-bb^*)^{-3}(1+bb^*)\{(b^* DM + D^* M) \\
&\quad + M(b^{*2} S_{W^*} - 2b^* S_W - 2b S_W^* + S_{W^*}^*)\}, \\
\rho &\equiv -2^{-1}(1-bb^*)^{-2}(1+bb^*) M M^*, \\
\nu &\equiv (1-bb^*)^{-1}(1+bb^*)^{-1} M.
\end{aligned}$$